

Possible Manchester Zoning Changes for Greater Infill and Affordability

Manchester could make its regulatory environment more welcoming to multifamily housing, while also promoting environmental, aesthetic, and fiscal goals: increasing density, reducing overcrowding, reducing car-dependent infrastructure, and raising land values. Here are some possible tweaks to the city's zoning code with these objectives in mind.

- Allow townhouse, duplex, and multifamily dwellings by right in B-2 (General Business District). To accommodate attractive rowhouses, set a minimum lot size of 1,200 square feet, a minimum lot frontage of 12 feet, a zero front minimum setback, and a maximum floor area ratio of 1.5 for residential. Lexington, Kentucky has done something similar: <a href="https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2018/2/14/a-small-zoning-change-could-have-big-implications-for-lexington">https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2018/2/14/a-small-zoning-change-could-have-big-implications-for-lexington</a>.
- 2. Allow duplex and multifamily dwellings by right in CBD (Central Business District). Restricting residential development in a CBD is extremely unusual. Eliminate the maximum floor area ratio in the CBD.
- 3. Slightly reduce the R-2 (two-family) minimum lot size to 5000 square feet to match the R-3 (urban multifamily) minimum lot size. Reduce the R-2 minimum lot frontage to 50 feet. As a rule, minimum lot frontage should be the minimum lot size divided by 100.
- 4. Slightly reduce the R-1A (single-family medium density) minimum lot size to 10,000 square feet to match the minimum lot frontage of 100 feet.
- 5. Slightly reduce the R-SM (suburban multifamily) minimum lot size to 7,500 square feet to match the minimum lot frontage of 75 feet.
- 6. Reduce the RS (suburban) minimum lot size to 15,000 square feet to match the minimum lot frontage of 150 feet.
- 7. Reduce front setbacks in all districts to 10 feet. Here is some information on why front setback requirements are especially undesirable:

  <a href="https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2019/2/22/question-of-the-week-why-are-building-setbacks-sometimes-undesirable">https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2019/2/22/question-of-the-week-why-are-building-setbacks-sometimes-undesirable</a>.
- 8. Reduce minimum parking requirements for all residential units to one space per unit (Table 10.04).
- 9. Expand the ADU ordinance. Eliminate the owner-occupancy requirement, the conditional use permit requirement, the one-parking-space-per-bedroom requirement, and the connecting-door provision, and set the maximum lot coverage and maximum floor area ratio at 75% and 0.75, respectively, in any residential district for properties with ADUs.